

SELF-INTERSECTION LOCAL TIME OF (α, d, β) -SUPERPROCESSL. MYTNIK¹ AND J. VILLA²

ABSTRACT. The existence of self-intersection local time (SILT), when the time diagonal is intersected, of the (α, d, β) -superprocess is proved for $d/2 < \alpha$ and for a renormalized SILT when $d/(2 + (1 + \beta)^{-1}) < \alpha \leq d/2$. We also establish Tanaka-like formula for SILT.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60G57; Secondary 60H15.

Keywords: Self-intersection local time, infinite variance superprocess, Tanaka-like formula.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

This paper is devoted to the proof of existence of self-intersection local time (SILT) of (α, d, β) -superprocesses for $0 < \beta < 1$. Let us introduce some notation. Let $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (respectively $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$) be the family of all bounded (respectively, bounded continuous) Borel measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all finite Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The integral of a function f with respect to a measure μ is denoted by $\mu(f)$. If E is a metric space we denote by $D([0, +\infty), E)$ the space of all càdlàg E -valued paths with the Skorohod topology. We will use c to denote a positive and finite constant whose value may vary from place to place. A constant of the form $c(a, b, \dots)$ means that this constant depends on parameters $a, b, \dots$.

Let $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}', P')$ be a filtered probability space where the (α, d, β) -superprocess $X = \{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is defined. That is, by X we mean a $\mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued, time homogeneous, strong Markov process with càdlàg sample paths, such that for any non-negative function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$E[\exp(-X_t(\varphi)) | X_0 = \mu] = \exp(-\mu(V_t(\varphi))),$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V_t(\varphi)$ denotes the unique non-negative solution of the following evolution equation

$$v_t = S_t \varphi - \int_0^t S_{t-s} ((v_s)^{1+\beta}) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here $\{S_t : t \geq 0\}$ denotes the semigroup corresponding to the fractional Laplacian operator Δ_α .

Another way to characterize the (α, d, β) -superprocess X is by means of the following martingale problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For all } \varphi \in D(\Delta_\alpha) \text{ (domain of } \Delta_\alpha \text{) and } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^d), \\ X_0 = \mu, \text{ and } M_t(\varphi) = X_t(\varphi) - X_0(\varphi) - \int_0^t X_s(\Delta_\alpha \varphi) ds, \\ \text{is a } \mathcal{F}'_t\text{-martingale.} \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

(2) Thanks go to UAA for allow this postdoctoral stay.

(1), (2) Research supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation.

If $\beta = 1$ then $M(\varphi)$ is a continuous martingale. In this paper we are interested in the case of $0 < \beta < 1$, and here $M_t(\varphi)$ is a purely discontinuous martingale. This martingale can be expressed as

$$M_t(\varphi) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) M(ds, dx), \quad (1.2)$$

where $M(ds, dx)$ is a martingale measure, it and the stochastic integral with respect to such martingale measure is defined in [12] (or in Section II.3 of [10]).

The SILT is heuristically defined by

$$\gamma_X(B) = \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \delta(x - y) X_s(dx) X_t(dy) ds dt,$$

where $B \subset [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ is a bounded Borel set and δ is the Dirac delta function. Let $D = \{(t, t) : t > 0\}$ be the time diagonal on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$. For $\beta = 1$, $B \cap D = \emptyset$ and $d \leq 7$, Dynkin [6] proved the existence of SILT, γ_X , for a very general class of continuous superprocesses. Also, from the Dynkin's works follows the existence of SILT when $\beta = 1$, $B \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $d \leq 3$ (see [1]). For $\beta = 1$, $d = 4, 5$ and $B \cap D \neq \emptyset$, Rosen [16] proved the existence of a renormalized SILT for the $(\alpha, d, 1)$ -superprocess. A Tanaka-like formula for the local time of $(\alpha, d, 2)$ -superprocess was established by Adler and Lewin in [3]. The same authors derived a Tanaka-like formula for self-intersection local time for $(\alpha, d, 2)$ -superprocess (see [2]). In this paper we are going to extend the above results for the case of $0 < \beta < 1$.

The usual way to give a rigorous definition of SILT is to take a sequence $(\varphi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ of smooth functions that converges in distribution to δ , define the approximating SILTs

$$\gamma_{X,\varepsilon}(B) = \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varphi_\varepsilon(x - y) X_s(dx) X_t(dy) ds dt, \quad B \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and prove that $(\gamma_{X,\varepsilon}(B))_{\varepsilon > 0}$ converges, in some sense (it is usually taken $L^2(P')$, $L^{1+\beta}(P')$, $L^1(P')$, distribution or in probability), to a random variable $\gamma_X(B)$. In what follows we choose $\varphi_\varepsilon = p_\varepsilon$, where p_ε is the α -stable density, given by

$$p_\varepsilon(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i(z \cdot (x-y)) - \varepsilon|z|^\alpha} dz, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

when $0 < \alpha < 2$ and

$$p_\varepsilon(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\varepsilon)^{d/2}} e^{-|x-y|^2/2\varepsilon}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for $\alpha = 2$. In this paper we will consider the particular case when $B = \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$. Here we denote $\gamma_{X,\varepsilon}(B)$ by $\gamma_{X,\varepsilon}(T)$, that is

$$\gamma_{X,\varepsilon}(T) = \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} p_\varepsilon(x - y) X_s(dx) X_t(dy) ds dt, \quad \forall T \geq 0.$$

Moreover, we are going to consider the renormalized SILT

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{X,\varepsilon}(T) = \gamma_{X,\varepsilon}(T) - e^{\lambda\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_s(G^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x - \cdot)) X_s(dx) ds,$$

where

$$G^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(x) = \int_\varepsilon^\infty e^{-\lambda t} p_t(x) dt, \quad \lambda, \varepsilon \geq 0.$$

Notice that $G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) \uparrow G^{\lambda, 0}(x) = G^\lambda(x)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and if $d > \alpha$ then

$$G(x) = G^{0, 0}(x) = c(\alpha, d) |x|^{\alpha-d}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$c(\alpha, d) = \frac{\Gamma((d-\alpha)/2)}{2^{\alpha/2} \pi^{d/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)},$$

and Γ is the usual Gamma function. G is called Green function of Δ_α . Also notice that, for $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\Delta_\alpha G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) = \lambda G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) - e^{-\lambda \varepsilon} p_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.4)$$

Now we are ready to present our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let X be the (α, d, β) -superprocess with initial measure $X_0(dx) = \mu(dx) = h(x)dx$, where h is bounded and integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let M be the martingale measure which appears in the martingale problem (1.1) for X .*

- (a) *Let $d/2 < \alpha$. Then there exists a process $\gamma_X = \{\gamma_X(T) : T \geq 0\}$ such that for every $T > 0$, $\delta > 0$*

$$P \left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\gamma_{X, \varepsilon}(t) - \gamma_X(t)| > \delta \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_X(T) &= \lambda \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^\lambda(x-y) X_s(dx) X_t(dy) dt ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_T(G^\lambda(x-\cdot)) X_s(dx) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_s(G^\lambda(x-\cdot)) X_s(dx) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^\lambda(x-y) M(ds, dy) X_t(dx) dt, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

- (b) *Let $d/(2+(1+\beta)^{-1}) < \alpha \leq d/2$. Then there exists a process $\tilde{\gamma}_X = \{\tilde{\gamma}_X(T) : T \geq 0\}$ such that for every $T > 0$, $\delta > 0$*

$$P \left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\tilde{\gamma}_{X, \varepsilon}(t) - \tilde{\gamma}_X(t)| > \delta \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_X(T) &= \lambda \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^\lambda(x-y) X_s(dx) X_t(dy) dt ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_T(G^\lambda(x-\cdot)) X_s(dx) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^\lambda(x-y) M(ds, dy) X_t(dx) dt, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

The processes γ_X and $\tilde{\gamma}_X$ are called *SILT* and *renormalized SILT* of X , respectively, and (1.5) and (1.6) are called *Tanaka-like formula for SILT*.

Remark 1. *It is interesting to note that our bound on dimensions*

$$d < (2 + (1 + \beta)^{-1})\alpha,$$

for renormalized SILT does not converge, as $\beta \uparrow 1$, to the bound $d < 3\alpha$ established by Rosen [16] for finite variance superprocess ($\beta = 1$). For example, simple algebra shows that for $5/3 < \alpha < 2$, there is a SILT for finite variance superprocesses in dimensions $d \leq 5$. However for any $\beta \in ((3\alpha - 5)/(5 - 2\alpha), 1)$ we get the existence of SILT only in dimensions $d \leq 4$ and this bound not improve to $d \leq 5$ if $\beta \uparrow 1$. So, our bound is more restrictive, and we believe that it is related to the fact that (α, d, β) -superprocess (with $\beta < 1$) has jumps. Our conjecture is that for $\beta < 1$, the renormalized SILT defined by (1.6) does not exist in dimensions greater than $(2 + (1 + \beta)^{-1})\alpha$.

The common ways to prove the existence of SILT for the finite variance superprocesses (see e.g. [2], [16]) do not work here. The reason for this is that such proofs strongly rely on the existence of high moments of X (at least of order four), and (α, d, β) -superprocess X has moments of order less than $1 + \beta$. To overcome this difficulty let us consider the path properties of X more carefully. It is well known (see Theorem 6.1.3 of [4]) that, for $0 < \beta < 1$, the (α, d, β) -superprocess X is a.s. discontinuous and has jumps of the form $\Delta X_t = r\delta_x$, for some $r > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here δ_x denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at x . Let

$$N_X(dx, dr, ds) = \sum_{\{(x, r, s): \Delta X_s = r\delta_x\}} \delta_{(x, r, s)}, \quad (1.7)$$

be a random point measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with compensator measure $\hat{N}_X$ given by

$$\hat{N}_X(dx, dr, ds) = \eta r^{-2-\beta} dr X_s(dx) ds, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{\beta(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)}.$$

Let $K > 0$ fix. From [8] and [4] we have that the (α, d, β) -superprocess X has the following decomposition: Let $\varphi \in D(\Delta_\alpha)$, $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(\varphi) &= \mu(\varphi) + \int_0^t X_s(\Delta_\alpha \varphi) ds - C_\beta(K) \int_0^t X_s(\varphi) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r \varphi(x) \tilde{N}_X(dx, dr, ds) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_K^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r \varphi(x) N_X(dx, dr, ds), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where $\tilde{N}_X = N_X - \hat{N}_X$ is a martingale measure and

$$C_\beta(K) = \frac{\eta}{\beta K^\beta}.$$

As we have mentioned already, one of the problems of working with the (α, d, β) -superprocess X is dealing with “big” jumps. In fact, the “big” jumps produce the infinite variance of the process and they appear in the term corresponding to the integral with respect to N_X on (1.9). So, the first step in the establishing the existence of SILT for (α, d, β) -superprocess X is to “eliminate” those jumps. This is achieved via introducing the following auxiliary process.

Let us consider the canonical space, $\Omega^\circ = D([0, \infty), M_F(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $\mathcal{F}^\circ = \mathcal{B}(\Omega^\circ)$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\circ = \sigma\{Y_r^K : 0 \leq r \leq t\}$, where $Y_r^K(\omega^\circ) = \omega^\circ(r)$. For any $\mu \in M_F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists (see [4]) a measure Q_μ on $(\Omega^\circ, \mathcal{F}^\circ)$, such that for any non-negative function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$E_\mu [\exp(-Y_t^K(\varphi)) | \mathcal{F}_s^\circ] = \exp(-Y_s^K(V_{t-s}^K(\varphi))), \quad \forall 0 < s \leq t, \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$E_\mu [\exp(-Y_t^K(\varphi))] = \exp(-\mu(V_t^K(\varphi))), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

(notice that the expectation is taken here with respect to the measure Q_μ). V_t^K is the unique non-negative solution for the non-linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_t^K}{\partial t} &= (\Delta_\alpha - C_\beta(K))v_t^K - \Phi^K(v_t^K), \\ v_0^K &= \varphi, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\Phi^K(x) = \eta \int_0^K (e^{-ux} - 1 + ux) u^{-\beta-2} du. \quad (1.13)$$

Note that when $K = \infty$ the resulting process Y^∞ and the regular (α, d, β) -superprocess X have the same distribution. Now, for any $K > 0$, define the stopping time

$$\tau_K = \inf\{t > 0 : |\Delta X_t| > K\}. \quad (1.14)$$

In Section 2 we will show that if we define the process which evolves as X up to time τ_K and then continues to evolve as Y^K starting at X_{τ_K-} , then this process has the same law as Y^K . This together with the fact that $\tau_K \uparrow \infty$ as $K \rightarrow \infty$ (see Lemma 2) implies that it is enough to show existence of the SILT for the process Y^K . This task will be accomplished in Section 3, modulo some technical moment estimates that will be derived in Section 4. The main steps leading to the proof of Theorem 1 will be described in the next section.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The process Y^K whose Laplace transform is given by (1.10), (1.11) has the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^K(\varphi) &= \mu(\varphi) + \int_0^t Y_s^K(\Delta_\alpha \varphi) ds - C_\beta(K) \int_0^t Y_s^K(\varphi) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r \varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{Y^K}(dx, dr, ds), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{N}_{Y^K} = N_{Y^K} - \hat{N}_{Y^K}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{Y^K}(dx, dr, ds) &= \sum_{\{(x, r, s) : \Delta Y_s^K = r \delta_x\}} \delta_{(x, r, s)}, \\ \hat{N}_{Y^K}(dx, dr, ds) &= \eta 1_{(0, K]}(r) r^{-2-\beta} dr Y_s^K(dx) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that N_{Y^K} is defined in a way analogous to (1.7), however it does not have jumps “greater” than K .

In the following lemma we are going to construct the probability space where Y^K coincides with X up to the stopping time τ_K .

Lemma 1. *There exists a probability space on which a pair of processes $(\check{Y}^K, X)$ is defined and possesses the following properties:*

- (a) $\ddot{Y}^K$ coincides in law with Y^K ,
- (b) $\ddot{Y}_t^K = X_t, \quad \forall t < \tau_K$.

Proof. Define

$$\Omega \equiv \Omega' \times \Omega^\circ, \quad \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}' \times \mathcal{F}^\circ, \quad \mathcal{F}_t \equiv \mathcal{F}'_t \times \mathcal{F}_t^\circ,$$

and let

$$\ddot{Y}_t^K(w', w^\circ) \equiv \begin{cases} X_t(w'), & t < \tau_K(w'), \\ w^\circ(t - \tau_K(w')), & t \geq \tau_K(w'). \end{cases}$$

Define the measure P on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$:

$$P(B \times C) = \int_{\Omega'} 1_B(w') P^{\tau_K(w')}(C) P'(dw'),$$

where

$$P^{\tau_K(w')}(C) = Q_{X_{\tau_K(w')-}}(\{w^\circ \in \Omega^\circ : \ddot{Y}^K(w', w^\circ) \in C\}). \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(\Delta_\alpha)$ and $t > 0$. From the definition of $\ddot{Y}^K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{Y}_t^K(\varphi) &= \mu(\varphi) + \int_0^t \ddot{Y}_s^K(\Delta_\alpha \varphi) ds - K_\beta \int_0^t \ddot{Y}_s^K(\varphi) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $N_{\ddot{Y}^K}$ is defined by (1.7) for $t < \tau_K$ and

$$N_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) = \sum_{\{(x,r,s): \Delta \ddot{Y}_s^K = r\delta_x\}} \delta_{(x,r,s)},$$

for $t \geq \tau_K$. Let us check that $\int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$ -martingale: For any $t > u$, $B \in \mathcal{F}'_u$, $C \in \mathcal{F}'^\circ_u$, we obtain by using the definition (2.2) of $P^{\tau_K(w')}$

$$\begin{aligned} &P \left(1_{B \times C} \left(\int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^u \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right) \right) \\ &= \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')} \left(1_C \left(\int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^u \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right) \right) P'(dw') \\ &= \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')} \left(1_C \left(\int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u} \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right) \right) P'(dw') \\ &\quad + \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')} \left(1_C \left(\int_0^{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u} \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\ddot{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^u \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\tilde{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \Big) \Big) P'(dw') \\
& = \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')} \left(Q_{X_{\tau_K(w')-}} [1_C \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \int_{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u}^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\tilde{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) | \mathcal{F}_{t \wedge \tau_K(w') \vee u}^\circ] \right) P'(dw') \\
& \quad + \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')} (1_C \\
& \quad \times \int_u^{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u} \int_0^{K-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_X(dx, dr, ds) \Big) P'(dw') \\
& = \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')} \left(1_C Q_{X_{\tau_K(w')-}} \left(\int_{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u}^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\tilde{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. | \mathcal{F}_{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u}^\circ \right) \right) P'(dw') \\
& \quad + \int_B P^{\tau_K(w')}(C) \\
& \quad \times \int_u^{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u} \int_0^{K-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_X(dx, dr, ds) P'(dw') \\
& = \int_B 1_C(X_{\cdot \wedge u}(\omega')) 1_{\{u < \tau_K\}} \\
& \quad \times P' \left(\int_u^{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u} \int_0^{K-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_X(dx, dr, ds) | \mathcal{F}_u' \right) P'(dw'),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality for the first term we have used the fact that for P' -a.s ω' , $\tilde{N}_{\tilde{Y}^K}$ is a $(Q_{X_{\tau_K(w')-}}, \mathcal{F}_t)$ -martingale measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times [\tau_K \wedge t, t]$. As for the second term we have used the simple identity

$$P^{\tau_K(w')}(C) 1_{\{u < \tau_K\}} = 1_C(X_{\cdot \wedge u}(\omega')) 1_{\{u < \tau_K\}}$$

for any $C \in \mathcal{F}_u^\circ$. Now use the fact that $\tilde{N}_X$ is a $(P', \mathcal{F}_t')$ -martingale measure to get that

$$P' \left(\int_u^{(t \wedge \tau_K(w')) \vee u} \int_0^{K-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_X(dx, dr, ds) | \mathcal{F}_u^\circ \right) = 0,$$

and the proof that $\int_0^t \int_0^K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r\varphi(x) \tilde{N}_{\tilde{Y}^K}(dx, dr, ds)$ is a martingale is complete.

Then, due to the uniqueness of the decomposition ([8], Theorem 7) we conclude that $\tilde{Y}^K$ has the same distribution as Y^K . $\square$

Convention. Based on the above lemma, from now on we will assume that Y^K, X are defined on the same probability space and $Y_t^K = X_t, \forall t < \tau_K$.

Now we are going to show that time τ_K can be made greater than any constant T with probability arbitrary close to 1 by taking K sufficiently large.

Lemma 2. *For every $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K > 0$ such that $P(\tau_K \leq T) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let Z_T^K the number of jumps of height greater than K in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, that is $Z_T^K = N([K, +\infty) \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists (see [14], page 1430) a standard Poisson process A_t^K such that

$$Z_T^K = A_{c_\beta K^{-1-\beta} \int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds}^K,$$

for some positive constant c_β . Then from the Markov inequality we have,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau_K \leq T) &= P(Z_T^K \geq 1) \\ &= P\left(A_{c_\beta K^{-1-\beta} \int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds}^K \geq 1\right) \\ &= P\left(A_{c_\beta K^{-1-\beta} \int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds}^K \geq 1, \frac{c_\beta}{K^{1+\beta}} \int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds \geq K^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(A_{c_\beta K^{-1-\beta} \int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds}^K \geq 1, \frac{c_\beta}{K^{1+\beta}} \int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds < K^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq P\left(\int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds \geq c_\beta^{-1} K^\beta\right) + P(A_{K^{-1}}^K \geq 1) \\ &\leq c_\beta K^{-\beta} E_\mu \left[\int_0^T X_s(\mathbb{R}^d) ds \right] + (1 - P(A_{K^{-1}}^K = 0)) \\ &= c_\beta T \mu(\mathbb{R}^d) K^{-\beta} + (1 - \exp(-K^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows, since the right hand side goes to 0 as $K \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Now the proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $K > 0$ and Y^K be the truncated (α, d, β) -superprocess with initial measure $Y_0^K(dx) = \mu(dx) = h(x)dx$, where h is bounded and integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure dx on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

(a) *For $d/2 < \alpha$ there exists a process $\gamma_{Y^K}^K = \{\gamma_{Y^K}^K(T) : T \geq 0\}$ such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E \left[\sup_{t < T} \left| \gamma_{Y^K, \varepsilon}^K(t) - \gamma_{Y^K}^K(t) \right| \right] = 0, \quad \forall T > 0,$$

and for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{Y^K}^K(T) &= \lambda \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^\lambda(x-y) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) dt ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_T^K(G^\lambda(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_s^K(G^\lambda(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^\lambda(x-y) M^K(ds, dy) Y_t^K(dx) dt, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

(b) *For $d/(2 + (1 + \beta)^{-1}) < \alpha \leq d/2$ there exists a process $\tilde{\gamma}_{Y^K}^K = \{\tilde{\gamma}_{Y^K}^K(T) : T \geq 0\}$ such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E \left[\sup_{t < T} \left| \tilde{\gamma}_{Y^K, \varepsilon}^K(t) - \tilde{\gamma}_{Y^K}^K(t) \right| \right] = 0, \quad \forall T > 0,$$

and for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_{Y^K}^K(T) &= \lambda \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^\lambda(x-y) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) dt ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_T^K(G^\lambda(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^\lambda(x-y) M^K(ds, dy) Y_t^K(dx) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Postponed.

The above proposition immediately yields:

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix arbitrary $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and let $d/2 < \alpha$. Since $X_t = Y_t^K$ for any $t < \tau_K$, we immediately get that

$$\gamma_X(t) = \gamma_{Y^K}(t), \quad \forall t < \tau_K,$$

and $\gamma_X(t)$ satisfies Tanaka formula (1.5) for $t < \tau_K$. Moreover, since by Lemma 2, $\tau_K \uparrow \infty$, as $K \rightarrow \infty$, there is no problem to define $\gamma_X(t)$ satisfying (1.5) for any $t > 0$.

Now let us check the convergence part of the theorem. For any $T > 0$, by Lemma 2, we can fix $K > 0$ such that $P(\tau_K \leq T) \leq \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}& \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \downarrow 0} P \left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\gamma_{X, \varepsilon_1}(t) - \gamma_X(t)| > \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \downarrow 0} P \left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\gamma_{X, \varepsilon_1}(t) - \gamma_X(t)| > \varepsilon, \tau_K > T \right) + P(\tau_K \leq T) \\ & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \downarrow 0} P \left(\sup_{t \leq T} |\gamma_{Y^K, \varepsilon_1}(t) - \gamma_{Y^K}(t)| > \varepsilon, \tau_K > T \right) + \delta \\ & = \delta,\end{aligned}$$

and since $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ were arbitrary the proof of convergence is complete.

The proof of part (b) of the theorem goes along the same lines. $\square$

3. EXISTENCE OF SILT FOR Y^K — PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

Fix arbitrary $K > 0$. First, we derive very useful moment estimates for Y^K . Let $\{S_t^K : t \geq 0\}$ denote the solution of the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial v_t^K}{\partial t} = (\Delta_\alpha - C_\beta(K))v_t^K.$$

That is, $\{S_t^K : t \geq 0\}$ is the semigroup defined as

$$S_t^K = e^{-C_\beta(K)t} S_t. \quad (3.1)$$

Notice that $S_t^K \varphi \leq S_t \varphi$, for all non-negative bounded measurable functions φ .

Following Theorem 3.1 of [9] we have that for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$E \left[\exp \left(-Y_t^K(\varphi) - \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds \right) \middle| Y_0^K = \mu \right] = \exp \left(-\mu(V_t^K(\varphi, \psi)) \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V_t^K(\varphi, \psi)$ denotes the unique non-negative solution to the following evolution equation

$$v_t^K = S_t^K \varphi + \int_0^t S_s^K(\psi) ds - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K(\Phi^K(v_s^K)) ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\Phi^K(x) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \chi(m) x^m \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\chi(m) = \frac{\eta K^{m-1-\beta}}{m-1-\beta}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we are going to calculate the first two moments of Y^K .

Lemma 3. *Let φ be a non-negative function on $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu[Y_t^K(\varphi)] &= \mu(S_t^K \varphi), \\ E_\mu[(Y_t^K(\varphi))^2] &= (\mu(S_t^K \varphi))^2 + \chi(2)\mu\left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K((S_s^K \varphi)^2) ds\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. From (3.2) we have

$$E_\mu[e^{-\lambda Y_t^K(\varphi)}] = e^{-\mu(v_t^K(\lambda))} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$v_t^K(\lambda) = \lambda S_t^K \varphi - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K(\Phi^K(v_s^K(\lambda))) ds. \quad (3.8)$$

Using the elementary inequality $e^{-x} - 1 + x \leq x^2/2$, $x \geq 0$, and (1.13) we have

$$\Phi^K(x) \leq \frac{\chi(2)}{2} x^2, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ be the supremum norm, then $0 \leq v_t^K(\lambda) \leq \lambda S_t^K \varphi \leq \lambda \|\varphi\|_\infty$ and the previous inequality implies

$$\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K(\Phi^K(v_s^K(\lambda))) ds \leq \frac{\chi(2)\|\varphi\|_\infty^2 t}{2} \times \lambda^2.$$

Further from (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\lambda S_t^K \varphi - v_t^K(\lambda)}{\lambda} &= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K(\Phi^K(v_s^K(\lambda))) ds \\ &\leq \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\chi(2)\|\varphi\|_\infty^2 t}{2} \times \lambda = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we write this like

$$v_t^K(\lambda) = \lambda S_t^K \varphi - o(\lambda). \quad (3.9)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\mu [Y_t^K(\varphi)] &= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1 - E_\mu [e^{-\lambda Y_t^K(\varphi)}]}{\lambda} \\
&= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) + o(\lambda)}}{\lambda} \\
&= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) + o(\lambda)}}{\lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) - o(\lambda)} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) - o(\lambda)}{\lambda} = \mu(S_t^K \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, to calculate the second moment we follow the ideas used in the proof of Proposition 11 of Chapter II from [11]:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\mu [(Y_t^K(\varphi))^2] &= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} E_\mu [e^{-\lambda Y_t^K(\varphi)} - 1 + \lambda Y_t^K(\varphi)] \\
&= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} (e^{-\mu(v_t^K(\lambda))} - 1 + \lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi)) \\
&= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} (e^{-\lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) + \mu(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (\Phi^K(v_s^K(\lambda))) ds)} - 1 + \lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi)) \\
&= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (\Phi^K(v_s^K(\lambda))) ds \right) - \lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \right)^n \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 1 + \lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the series expansion (3.4) for Φ^K and (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (\Phi^K(v_s^K(\lambda))) ds &= \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (\Phi^K(\lambda S_s^K \varphi - o(\lambda))) ds \\
&= \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(\frac{\chi(2)}{2!} \lambda^2 (S_s^K \varphi)^2 + o(\lambda^2) \right) ds \\
&= \frac{\chi(2)}{2} \lambda^2 \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((S_s^K \varphi)^2) ds + o(\lambda^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\mu [(Y_t^K(\varphi))^2] &= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\chi(2)}{2} \lambda^2 \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((S_s^K \varphi)^2) ds \right) + o(\lambda^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{\chi(2)}{2} \lambda^2 \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((S_s^K \varphi)^2) ds \right) - \lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) + o(\lambda^2) \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\chi(2)}{2} \lambda^2 \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((S_s^K \varphi)^2) ds \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (\mu(S_t^K \varphi))^2 + o(\lambda^2) \right) \\
&= \chi(2) \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((S_s^K \varphi)^2) ds \right) + (\mu(S_t^K \varphi))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. $\square$

Remark 2. Using binary directed graphs, Dynkin in [6] gives a formula for the moments of superprocesses, where the Laplace functional (3.2) has an evolution equation

(3.3) with only one term $m = 2$ in (3.4). For the Y^K superprocess it is also possible, but here the main difference is that the directed graphs are not necessarily binary.

Corollary 1. *Let φ, ψ be non-negative functions on $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \geq s > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu [Y_t^K(\varphi)Y_s^K(\psi)] &= \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \mu(S_s^K \psi) \\ &\quad + \chi(2) \mu \left(\int_0^s S_r^K (S_{t-r}^K \varphi S_{s-r}^K \psi) dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, use the Markov property for Y^K to get

$$E_\mu [Y_t^K(\varphi) | \mathcal{F}_s] = Y_s^K(S_{t-s}^K \varphi).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu [Y_t^K(\varphi)Y_s^K(\psi)] &= E_\mu [Y_s^K(S_{t-s}^K \varphi) Y_s^K(\psi)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left((E_\mu [Y_s^K(S_{t-s}^K \varphi) + Y_s^K(\psi)])^2 - (E_\mu [Y_s^K(S_{t-s}^K \varphi) - Y_s^K(\psi)])^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we are done by Lemma 3. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let φ be non-negative functions on $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \geq s > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x, y) Y_t^K(dx) Y_s^K(dy) \right] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2) p_t(z_1 - x_1) p_s(z_2 - x_2) \varphi(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2 \\ &\quad + \chi(2) \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx) p_r(y - x) dy p_{t-r}(z_1 - y) p_{s-r}(z_2 - y) \varphi(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use Corollary 1 and approximation of the $\psi(x, y)$ by functions in the form $\sum_i \varphi_i(x) \phi_i(y)$ to derive the result. We leave the details to the reader. $\square$

Next proposition gives bounds on some fractional moments of Y^K and requires much more work than we have done in Lemma 3. Hence its proof will be postponed till Section 4.

Proposition 2. *Let $1 + \beta < p < 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. If*

$$d < \alpha \left(2 + \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

then there exists a constant $c = c(K, p, d, \alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$E_\mu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_t^K(p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x)) \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x)) ds \right)^p dx \right] < c(K, p, d, \alpha, \beta).$$

Moreover

$$E \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \right] < \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3. *When K goes to infinity, then $\chi(2)$ goes to infinity, hence $c(K, p, d, \alpha, \beta)$ goes to infinity and this is because $\chi(2)$ is part of $c(K, p, d, \alpha, \beta)$. The moment in (3.10) is infinity when $K = +\infty$, because the (α, d, β) -superprocess has moments of order less than $1 + \beta$ and $p > 1 + \beta$.*

Proof. Postponed.

Now we can write the Tanaka-like formula for the approximating SILT of the truncated superprocess Y^K . From Fubini theorem, (1.4), (1.2) and (1.1) (the martingale problem for the truncated superprocess Y^K , [8]) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{Y^K, \varepsilon}^K(T) &= \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} p_\varepsilon(x-y) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) ds dt \\
&= \lambda e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) dt ds \\
&\quad - e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_\alpha G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) Y_t^K(dy) dt Y_s^K(dx) ds \\
&= \lambda e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) ds dt \\
&\quad - e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_T^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \\
&\quad + e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \\
&\quad + e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) M^K(dt, dy) Y_s^K(dx) ds, \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}_{Y^K, \varepsilon}^K(T) &= \gamma_{Y^K, \varepsilon}^K(T) - e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \\
&= \lambda e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) ds dt \\
&\quad - e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_T^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-\cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \\
&\quad + e^{\lambda \varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) M^K(dt, dy) Y_s^K(dx) ds. \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that stochastic integrals in (3.11) and (3.12) are well defined due to the moment bound given by Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 1. We are going to prove Proposition 1 via letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.11), and checking convergence of all the terms. By Corollary 2 and simple estimates we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2) p_s(z_1 - x_1) p_s(z_2 - x_2) G^\lambda(z_1 - z_2) dz_1 dz_2 ds \right] \\
&\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2) p_s(z_1 - x_1) p_s(z_2 - x_2) G^\lambda(z_1 - z_2) dz_1 dz_2 ds \\
&\quad + \chi(2) \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx) p_r(y - x) dy p_{s-r}(z_1 - y) p_{s-r}(z_2 - y) \\
&\quad \times G^\lambda(z_1 - z_2) dz_1 dz_2 dr ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} p_u(z_1 - z_2) p_s(z_1 - x_1) p_s(z_2 - x_2) dz_1 dz_2 du ds \\
&+ \chi(2) \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \mu(dx) p_r(y - x) dy \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} p_u(z_1 - z_2) p_{s-r}(z_1 - y) dz_1 p_{s-r}(z_2 - y) dz_2 du dr ds \\
&\leq \int_0^T \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} \int p_{u+2s}(x_1 - x_2) \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2) du ds \\
&+ \chi(2) \int_0^T \int_0^s \int \mu(dx) p_r(y - x) dy \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} p_{u+2s-2r}(0) du dr ds \\
&\leq \|h\|_\infty \mu(1) T \lambda^{-1} + \mu(1) \chi(2) \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} (u + 2s - 2r)^{-d/\alpha} du dr ds, \quad \forall T > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where the last integral is convergent if $d < 2\alpha$. Using (3.13), the bound $G^\lambda \geq G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}$ and the monotone convergence theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E \left[\sup_{t < T} \left| \int_0^t \int Y_s^K (G^\lambda(x - \cdot) - G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x - \cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \right| \right] \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E \left[\int_0^T \int Y_s^K (G^\lambda(x - \cdot) - G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x - \cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds \right] \\
&= 0, \quad \forall T > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

In a similar way we can prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E \left[\sup_{T < L} \left| \int_0^T \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (G^\lambda(x - y) - G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x - y)) Y_s^K(dx) Y_t^K(dy) ds dt \right| \right] = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} E \left[\sup_{T < L} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_T^K (G^\lambda(x - \cdot) - G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x - \cdot)) Y_s^K(dx) ds, \right| \right] = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

for all $L > 0$ and $d < 3\alpha$.

Now let us deal with the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^T \int F^\varepsilon(t, x) M^K(dt, dx),$$

where

$$F^\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x - y) Y_s^K(dy) ds.$$

This integral is well defined if (see [12])

$$E \left[\left(\sum_{t \in J \cap [0, T]} F^\varepsilon(t, \Delta Y_t^K)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] < +\infty, \tag{3.17}$$

where J denotes the set of all jump times of X . Let

$$d < \alpha \left(2 + \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \right), \quad (3.18)$$

hence we can choose $p \in (1 + \beta, 2)$ such that

$$d < \alpha \left(2 + \frac{1}{p} \right). \quad (3.19)$$

Since $p \in (1 + \beta, 2)$ we can use the Jensen inequality to get

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i \right)^{p/2} \leq \sum_{i \in I} a_i^{p/2},$$

if $a_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in I$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\left(\sum_{t \in J \cap [0, T]} F^\varepsilon(t, \Delta Y_t^K)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq \left(E \left[\sum_{t \in J \cap [0, T]} F^\varepsilon(t, \Delta Y_t^K)^p \right] \right)^{1/p} \\ & = \left(E \left[\eta \int_0^T \int \int_0^K u^{-\beta-2} (F^\varepsilon(t, u\delta_x))^p du Y_t^K(dx) dt \right] \right)^{1/p} \\ & = c \left(\int_0^T E \left[\int \left(\int_0^t \int G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x-y) Y_s^K(dy) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \right] dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since p satisfies (3.19), the condition (3.17) follows from Proposition 2.

Let $F = F^0$. By Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality (see [12]) and the previous argument we get

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \int (F(s, x) - F^\varepsilon(s, x)) M^K(ds, dx) \right| \right] \\ & \leq E \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \int_0^t \int (|F(s, x) - F^\varepsilon(s, x)|) M^K(ds, dx) \right] \\ & \leq c E \left[\left(\sum_{t \in J \cap [0, T]} ((F - F^\varepsilon)(t, \Delta Y_t^K))^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq c \left(\int_0^T E \left[\int \left(\int_0^t \int |(G^\lambda - G^{\lambda, \varepsilon})(x-y)| Y_s^K(dy) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \right] dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \forall T > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where the last convergence follows by Proposition 2 and the monotone convergence theorem. Now combine (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), (3.18) and (3.20) to get that all the terms in (3.11) converge and the proof of part **(a)** is complete. By (3.15), (3.16), (3.18) and (3.20) we get that all the terms in (3.12) converge and hence the part **(b)** of the proposition follows. $\square$

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2: ESTIMATION OF FRACTIONAL MOMENTS

In what follows we will use the following well known equalities. For $p \in (1, 2)$

$$z^{p-1} = \eta_p \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda z}) \lambda^{-p} d\lambda \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$z^p = p\eta_p \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda z} - 1 + \lambda z) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\eta_p = \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(2-p)}.$$

Proposition 3. *Let $1 < 1 + \beta < p < p' < 2$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(K, \beta, p, p')$ such that for any non-negative functions $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds \right)^p \middle| Y_0^K = \mu \right] \\ & \leq c \left\{ \mu(S_t^K \varphi) + \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \right)^p \right. \\ & \quad + \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(\left(\int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right)^{p'} \right) ds \right) \\ & \quad + \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right) ds \right) \\ & \quad + \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right) ds \right) \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \right)^{p-1} \\ & \quad + \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(\int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \left(S_r^K \varphi \int_0^r S_u^K \psi du \right) dr \left(\int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right)^{p-1} \right) ds \right) \\ & \quad + \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \left(\int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right)^p \right) ds \right) \\ & \quad \left. + \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \left(\left(\int_0^r S_u^K \psi du \right)^{p'} \right) dr \right) ds \right) \right\}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $t > 0$. By (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E_\mu \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds \right)^p \right] \\ & = p\eta_p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-p-1} \left(E \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) e^{-\lambda \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - E[Y_t^K(\varphi)] + \lambda E \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds \right] \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Now we will bound the moments on the right hand side of the above expression. First of all, by Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds \right] &= \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \\ &\quad + \chi(2) \mu \left(\int_0^t \int_0^s S_r^K (S_{t-r}^K \varphi S_{s-r}^K \psi) dr ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, from Fubini theorem we get the following useful equality

$$\int_0^t \int_0^s S_r^K (S_{t-r}^K \varphi S_{s-r}^K \psi) dr ds = \int_0^t S_{t-r}^K \left(S_r^K \varphi \int_0^r S_s^K \psi ds \right) dr. \quad (4.6)$$

Now let us estimate the remaining moment. Use the Laplace transform (3.2) and the dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) e^{-\lambda \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds} \right] &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E_\mu \left[e^{-\lambda \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds - \varepsilon Y_t^K(\varphi)} - e^{-\lambda \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds} \right] \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(e^{-\mu(V_t^K(\varepsilon \varphi, \lambda \psi))} - e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda \psi))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), we can easily derive that

$$V_s^K(\varphi, \psi) \geq V_s^K(0, \psi) \geq 0, \quad \forall s \geq 0, \quad (4.7)$$

and hence by the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$V_t^K(\varepsilon \varphi, \lambda \psi) \rightarrow V_t^K(0, \lambda \psi), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Using the same argument we get

$$E \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) e^{-\lambda \int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds} \right] = e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda \psi))} \mu \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{V_t^K(\varepsilon \varphi, \lambda \psi) - V_t^K(0, \lambda \psi)}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Following the argument in Section 6.3 of [5] we can show that $U_t^K(\varphi, \lambda \psi)$ defined by

$$U_t^K(\varphi, \lambda \psi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{V_t^K(\varepsilon \varphi, \lambda \psi) - V_t^K(0, \lambda \psi)}{\varepsilon}.$$

satisfies the following equation,

$$U_t^K(\varphi, \lambda \psi) = S_t^K \varphi - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (U_s^K(\varphi, \lambda \psi) (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda \psi))) ds, \quad (4.8)$$

with $\Phi'(x) = \frac{d\Phi(x)}{dx}$.

Use (3.6), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[Y_t^K(\varphi) \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(\psi) ds \right)^p \right] \\
&= p\eta_p \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi))} \mu(U_t^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi)) + \lambda \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \mu(S_t^K \varphi) + \lambda \chi(2) \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right) \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&= p\eta_p \mu \left(\int_0^\infty \left(S_t^K \varphi e^{-\lambda \mu(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds)} - S_t^K \varphi + \lambda S_t^K \varphi \mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + S_t^K \varphi e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi))} - S_t^K \varphi e^{-\lambda \mu(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds)} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \lambda \chi(2) \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi))} \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (U_s^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi) (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) ds \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right) \\
&= \mu(S_t^K \varphi) \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \right)^p + I_1 + I_2 + I_3
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= p\eta_p \mu \left(S_t^K \varphi \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi))} - e^{-\lambda \mu(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds)} \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right), \\
I_2 &= p\eta_p \mu \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda \chi(2) \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (U_s^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi) (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) ds \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right), \\
I_3 &= p\eta_p \mu \left(\int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi))} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (U_s^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi) (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) ds \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the elementary inequality $1 - e^{-x} \leq x$, for $x \geq 0$, and (3.5) we have

$$(\Phi^K)'(x) \leq \eta \frac{K^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta} x = \chi(2)x. \quad (4.9)$$

Using (3.3) and (4.7) it is easy to derive that $U_t^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi) \geq 0$ and

$$U_t^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi) \leq S_t^K \varphi, \quad (4.10)$$

$$V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi) \leq \lambda \int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds. \quad (4.11)$$

The above inequalities and (4.8) yield the following bound on I_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\leq c\mu \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)) ds \left(1 - e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0, \lambda\psi))} \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right) \\
&\leq c\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right) \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\lambda\mu(\int_0^t S_l^K \psi dl)} \right) \lambda^{-p} d\lambda \\
&\leq c \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_s^K \psi ds \right) \right)^{p-1} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right), \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by (4.1).

Let us take care of I_2 . By (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= p\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda\chi(2) \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K (U_r^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi)(\Phi^K)'(V_r^K(0, \lambda\psi))) dr \right) ds \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&= J_1 + J_2, \quad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= p\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda\chi(2) \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) ds \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) ds \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right), \\
J_2 &= p\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K (U_r^K(\varphi, \lambda\psi)(\Phi^K)'(V_r^K(0, \lambda\psi))) dr \right) ds \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate J_2 . First, by (1.13), (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)) \lambda^{-p} d\lambda &= \eta \int_0^\infty \int_0^K \left(1 - e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)} \right) w^{-\beta-1} dw \lambda^{-p} d\lambda \\
&\leq \eta \int_0^K \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr} \right) \lambda^{-p} d\lambda w^{-\beta-1} dw \\
&= \eta\eta_p^{-1} \int_0^K \left(w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right)^{p-1} w^{-\beta-1} dw \\
&= c \left(\int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right)^{p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Use this and (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq c\mu \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K ((\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K (S_r^K \varphi V_r^K(0, \lambda\psi)) dr \right) ds \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \Big) \\
&\leq c\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(\int_0^s S_{s-r}^K (S_r^K \varphi \int_0^r S_u^K \psi du \right) dr \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_0^\infty (\Phi^K)'(V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)) \lambda^{-p} d\lambda \right) ds \Big) \\
&\leq c\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(\int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \left(S_r^K \varphi \int_0^r S_u^K \psi du \right) dr \left(\int_0^s S_l^K \psi dl \right)^{p-1} \right) ds \right). \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us estimate J_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= p\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\lambda\chi(2) \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \eta \int_0^K (1 - e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)}) w^{-\beta-1} dw \right) ds \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right) \\
&= p\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\lambda\chi(2) \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. + \eta \int_0^K (e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)} - 1) w^{-\beta-1} dw \right] \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\chi(2) = \eta \int_0^K w^{-\beta} dw,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= p\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \eta \int_0^\infty \int_0^K (e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)} - 1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) w^{-\beta-1} dw \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \right) ds \Big) \\
&= p\eta\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \left[\int_0^K \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr} - 1 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda w^{-\beta-1} dw \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_0^K \int_0^\infty (e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)} - e^{-\lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr}) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda w^{-\beta-1} dw \right] \right) ds \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \eta \int_0^K \left(w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right)^p w^{-\beta-1} dw \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + p\eta\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \int_0^K \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)} - e^{-\lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr} \right) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda w^{-\beta-1} dw \right) ds \right) \\
&= c\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K \left(S_s^K \varphi \left(\int_0^K S_r^K \psi dr \right)^p \right) \right) ds \\
&\quad + p\eta\eta_p\mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K (S_s^K \varphi Q(s)) ds \right), \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(s) &= \int_0^K \int_0^\infty (e^{-wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi)} - e^{-\lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr}) \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda w^{-\beta-1} dw \\
&\leq \int_0^K \int_0^\infty \left| wV_s^K(0, \lambda\psi) - \lambda w \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr \right| \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda w^{-\beta-1} dw \\
&= c \left(\int_0^K + \int_K^\infty \right) \left| V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi) - \int_0^s S_r^K(\lambda\psi) dr \right| \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&= (Q_1 + Q_2)(s).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.3)

$$Q_1(s) = c \int_0^K \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \Phi^K(V_r^K(0, \lambda\psi)) dr \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda.$$

Due to $1 < 1 + \beta < p < p' < 2$ we have, from the elementary inequality $0 \leq e^{-x} - 1 - x \leq cx^{p'}$, for $x \geq 0$, that

$$\Phi^K(x) \leq c\eta \frac{K^{p'-\beta-1}}{p' - \beta - 1} x^{p'}.$$

Using this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(s) &\leq c \int_0^K \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \left((V_r^K(0, \lambda\psi))^{p'} \right) dr \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&\leq c \int_0^K \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \left(\left(\int_0^r S_u^K(\lambda\psi) du \right)^{p'} \right) dr \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&= c \int_0^s S_{s-r}^K \left(\left(\int_0^r S_u^K \psi du \right)^{p'} \right) dr. \tag{4.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Apply triangle inequality and (4.11) to bound Q_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2(s) &= c \int_K^\infty \left| V_s^K(0, \lambda\psi) - \int_0^s S_r^K(\lambda\psi) dr \right| \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&\leq 2c \int_K^\infty \int_0^s S_r^K(\lambda\psi) dr \lambda^{-p-1} d\lambda \\
&= c \int_0^s S_r^K \psi dr. \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us estimate I_1 . Proceeding as before we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= p\eta_p\mu\left(S_t^K\varphi\left(\int_0^K+\int_K^\infty\right)\left(e^{-\mu(V_t^K(0,\lambda\psi))}-e^{-\lambda\mu(\int_0^t S_s^K\psi ds)}\right)\lambda^{-p-1}d\lambda\right) \\
&\leq p\eta_p\mu\left(S_t^K\varphi\int_0^K\left|\mu(V_t^K(0,\lambda\psi))-\mu\left(\int_0^t S_s^K\psi ds\right)\right|\lambda^{-p-1}d\lambda\right) \\
&\quad +p\eta_p\mu\left(S_t^K\varphi\int_K^\infty 2\lambda^{-p-1}d\lambda\right) \\
&\leq c\mu(S_t^K\varphi)\int_0^K\mu\left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K(V_s^K(0,\lambda\psi))^{p'}ds\right)\lambda^{-p-1}d\lambda+c\mu(S_t^K\varphi) \\
&= c\mu(S_t^K\varphi)\mu\left(\int_0^t S_{t-s}^K\left(\int_0^s S_r^K\psi dr\right)^{p'}ds\right)+c\mu(S_t^K\varphi). \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.12)-(4.18) and (3.6) we obtain (4.3). $\square$

Now, the proof of Proposition 2 is based on the bounds that we will get on all the terms on the right hand side of (4.3).

Lemma 4. *Let $\mu(dx) = h(x)dx$, where h is bounded and integrable. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mu(S_s G^\lambda(\cdot - x)) \leq c_{4.1} g(h, \lambda) < \infty. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. Using the explicit expression for μ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(S_s G^\lambda(\cdot - x)) &= \int \int p_s(y - z) G^\lambda(z - x) dz \mu(dy) \\
&\leq \int \int p_s(y - z) G^\lambda(z - x) dz \|h\|_\infty dy \\
&= \|h\|_\infty \|G^\lambda\|_1 = \|h\|_\infty \lambda^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

recall that $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm. $\square$

In the next two lemmas we are going to use the following basic inequalities: For $d > \alpha$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have ([13], Lemma 4)

$$p_t(x) \leq ct^{\delta-1} |x|^{\alpha-d-\alpha\delta}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \tag{4.20}$$

and the Riesz convolution formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x - z|^{a-d} |z - y|^{b-d} dz = c |x - y|^{a+b-d}, \tag{4.21}$$

whenever $a, b > 0, a + b < d$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Also define the indicator function:

$$\kappa(x) = \mathbf{1}(|x| \leq 1).$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\alpha < d$. Then, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $a \in [0, d)$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y - z) |z - x|^{-a} dz \leq c_1 + c_2 s^{\delta-1} (|y - x|^{\alpha-a-\delta\alpha} \kappa(y - x) + 1), \quad \forall y, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{4.22}$$

where $c_1 \geq 1, c_2 > 0$ are constants.

Proof. First let us prove (4.22) for the case $\alpha - \delta\alpha < a$. Use (4.20) and (4.21) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y-z)|z-x|^{-a} dz &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y-x-z)|z|^{-a} dz \\
&= \left(\int_{|z|>1} + \int_{|z|\leq 1} \right) p_s(y-x-z)|z|^{-a} dz \\
&\leq 1 + \int_{|z|\leq 1} s^{\delta-1}|y-x-z|^{\alpha-d-\delta\alpha}|z|^{-a} dz \\
&\leq 1 + cs^{\delta-1}|y-x|^{\alpha-a-\delta\alpha} \\
&= 1 + cs^{\delta-1}(|y-x|^{\alpha-a-\delta\alpha}\mathbf{1}(|y-x|\leq 1) \\
&\quad + |y-x|^{\alpha-a-\delta\alpha}\mathbf{1}(|y-x|>1)) \\
&\leq 1 + cs^{\delta-1}(|y-x|^{\alpha-a-\delta\alpha}\kappa(y-x) + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose $\alpha - \delta\alpha \geq a$. Using a simple coupling argument, as in Lemma 5.1 of [15], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y-x-z)|z|^{-a} dz \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(z)|z|^{-a} dz.$$

By the scaling relationship

$$p_t(x) = t^{-d/\alpha} p_1(t^{-1/\alpha}x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4.23)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(z)|z|^{-a} dz &= s^{-a/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1(s^{-1/\alpha}z)|s^{-1/\alpha}z|^{-a} s^{-d/\alpha} dz \\
&= s^{-a/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1(z)|z|^{-a} dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_s(y-z)|z-x|^{-a} dz &\leq cs^{-a/\alpha}(\mathbf{1}(|s|\leq 1) + \mathbf{1}(|s|>1)) \\
&\leq cs^{\delta-1} + c,
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. $\square$

Lemma 6. For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists $c(t)$ such that for any $T > 0$, $\sup_{t < T} c(t) < \infty$ and

$$\int_0^t S_s G^\lambda(\cdot - x)(y) ds \leq c(t)(|y-x|^{2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha}\kappa(y-x) + 1), \quad \forall y, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. Let $\alpha < d$. Since $G^\lambda(x) \leq c|x|^{\alpha-d}$, take $a = d - \alpha$, apply Lemma 5 and make additional integration with respect to time. If $\alpha \geq d$, then by the unimodality of p_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t S_s G^\lambda(\cdot - x)(y) ds &= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda r} p_{r+s}(x-y) dr ds \\
&\leq c \int_0^t \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda r} (r+s)^{-d/\alpha} dr ds \\
&\leq c(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. $\square$

Lemma 7. *Let $1 < q < 2$, and $d < \alpha(2 + 1/q)$. Then there exists $c(t)$ such that for any $T > 0$, $\sup_{t < T} c(t) < \infty$ and for any $y, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(\left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot - x) dr \right)^q \right) (y) ds \leq c(t), \quad (4.25)$$

$$\int_0^t S_{s+\varepsilon} \left(\left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot - x) dr \right)^q \right) (y) ds \leq c(t), \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.26)$$

Proof. Since $d < \alpha(2 + 1/q)$ it is easy to check that we can fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently small such that,

$$q(2\alpha - d - \delta\alpha) + \alpha - \delta\alpha > 0. \quad (4.27)$$

By Lemma 6,

$$\left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot - x) dr \right)^q (y) \leq \sup_{s \leq t} c(s) (|y - x|^{q(2\alpha - d - \delta\alpha)} \kappa(y - x) + 1). \quad (4.28)$$

Now take $a = -q(2\alpha - d - \delta\alpha)$. If $a < 0$ then the result follows trivially, due to the fact that then the right hand side of (4.28) is uniformly bounded for any $y, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

If $a \geq 0$, we apply again Lemma 5 to conclude that the result follows if $q(2\alpha - d - \delta\alpha) + \alpha - \delta\alpha > 0$. But this is exactly the condition (4.27) which is satisfied due to the choice of δ . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2. From (3.1) we see that $S_t^K \leq S_t$, hence Proposition 3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & E_\mu \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Y_t^K(p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x)) \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x)) ds \right)^p dx \right] \\ & \leq c \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(S_t p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x)) dx \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(S_t p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x)) \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_s G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x) ds \right) \right)^p dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(S_t p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x)) \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x) dr \right)^{p'} ds \right) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(S_s p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x) \int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x) dr \right) ds \right) dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(S_s p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x) \int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x) dr \right) ds \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\mu \left(\int_0^t S_s G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot - x) ds \right) \right)^{p-1} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(\int_0^s S_{s-r} \left(S_r p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x) \int_0^r S_u G^\lambda(\cdot - x) du \right) dr \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot - x) dr \right)^{p-1} \right) ds \right) dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(S_s p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x) \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot - x) dr \right)^p \right) ds \right) dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(S_s p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x) \int_0^s S_{s-r} \left(\int_0^r S_u G^\lambda(\cdot - x) du \right)^{p'} dr \right) ds \right) dx \Big\} \\
& = c \sum_{i=1}^8 I_i(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

We will check the boundedness of all the terms $I_i(\varepsilon)$, $i = 1, \dots, 8$. First note, that for $d \leq \alpha$ all the terms $I_i(\varepsilon)$, $i = 1, \dots, 8$ can be bounded very easily, and we leave it to check to the reader. We will consider the case $\alpha < d$. The first two terms, $I_1(\varepsilon)$ and $I_2(\varepsilon)$ are easy to handle. By the Fubini theorem and Lemma 4 we get

$$I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon) \leq \mu(1) (1 + (c_{4.19} t)^p).$$

By Lemma 7 we easily get

$$I_3(\varepsilon) + I_8(\varepsilon) \leq \mu(1) c(t).$$

For $I_7(\varepsilon)$ we get the following

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7(\varepsilon) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(y - z) p_{s+\varepsilon}(z - x) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(z - x) dr \right)^p ds dx dz \mu(dy) \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(y - z) dz S_{s+\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot) dr \right)^p (0) ds \mu(dy) \\
&= \mu(1) \int_0^t S_{s+\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot) dr \right)^p (0) ds \\
&\leq \mu(1) c(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where the last inequality follows by Lemma 7. It is also easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4(\varepsilon) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu \left(\int_0^t S_{t-s} \left(S_s p_\varepsilon(\cdot - x) \left(\left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(\cdot - x) dr \right)^p + 1 \right) \right) ds \right) dx \\
&\leq I_7(\varepsilon) + \mu(1) t,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$I_5(\varepsilon) \leq c_{4.19}^{p-1} I_4(\varepsilon).$$

The last term we have to handle is $I_6(\varepsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6(\varepsilon) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \left(\int_{x:|y_1-x|\leq 1} + \int_{x:|y_1-x|>1} \right) \left(\int_0^t p_{t-s}(y-y_1) \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_0^s p_{s-r}(y_1-z) p_{r+\varepsilon}(z-x) \int_0^r S_u G^\lambda(z-x) du dr \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\int_0^s S_r G^\lambda(y_1-x) dr \right)^{p-1} ds \right) dy_1 dz dx \mu(dy) \\
&= I_{6,1}(\varepsilon) + I_{6,2}(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Our condition on d implies that we can choose $\delta \in (0, 1/3)$ sufficiently small such that

$$(2\alpha - d)(p+1) - \delta\alpha(p+2) > -d. \quad (4.31)$$

By (4.20), Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{6,1}(\varepsilon) &\leq c(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \int_{x:|y_1-x|\leq 1} \left(\int_0^t p_{t-s}(y-y_1) \int_0^s p_{s-r}(y_1-z)(r+\varepsilon)^{\delta-1} \right. \\
&\quad \times (|z-x|^{3\alpha-2d-2\delta\alpha} \kappa(z-x) + |z-x|^{\alpha-d-\delta\alpha}) dr \\
&\quad \times \left. (|y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)} + 1) ds \right) dy_1 dz dx \mu(dy) \\
&= c(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_{x:|y_1-x|\leq 1} \left(\int_0^t p_{t-s}(y-y_1) \int_0^s (r+\varepsilon)^{\delta-1} \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{s-r}(y_1-z) (|z-x|^{3\alpha-2d-2\delta\alpha} \kappa(z-x) + |z-x|^{\alpha-d-\delta\alpha}) dz dr \\
&\quad \times \left. (|y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)} + 1) ds \right) dy_1 dx \mu(dy) \\
&\leq c(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_{x:|y_1-x|\leq 1} \int_0^t p_{t-s}(y-y_1) \int_0^s (r+\varepsilon)^{\delta-1} \\
&\quad \times [1 + (s-r)^{\delta-1} (|y_1-x|^{4\alpha-2d-3\delta\alpha} + |y_1-x|^{2\alpha-d-2\delta\alpha} + 1)] dr \\
&\quad \times (|y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)} + 1) ds dy_1 dx \mu(dy) \\
&= c(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_0^t p_{t-s}(y-y_1) \left[\int_0^s (r+\varepsilon)^{\delta-1} dr \right. \\
&\quad \times \int_{x:|y_1-x|\leq 1} (1 + |y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)}) dx + \int_0^s (r+\varepsilon)^{\delta-1} (s-r)^{\delta-1} dr \\
&\quad \times \int_{x:|y_1-x|\leq 1} (1 + |y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)+4\alpha-2d-3\alpha\delta} \\
&\quad + |y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)+2\alpha-d-2\alpha\delta} + |y_1-x|^{(2\alpha-d-\delta\alpha)(p-1)} \\
&\quad + |y_1-x|^{4\alpha-2d-3\alpha\delta} + |y_1-x|^{2\alpha-d-2\alpha\delta}) dx \left. \right] dy_1 \mu(dy) \\
&\leq c(t) \mu(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by (4.31). As for the $I_{6,2}(\varepsilon)$, by Lemma 6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{6,2}(\varepsilon) &\leq \sup_{x, y_1: |y_1 - x| > 1} \left(\int_0^t S_r G^\lambda(y_1 - x) dr \right)^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \int_0^t p_{t-s}(y - y_1) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s \int_0^r \int_0^\infty p_{s-r}(y_1 - z) p_{r+\varepsilon+u+v}(0) e^{-\lambda v} dv du dr ds dy_1 dz \mu(dy) \\ &\leq c(t) \mu(1) \int_0^r \int_0^s \int_0^r \int_0^\infty (r + \varepsilon + u + v)^{-d/\alpha} e^{-\lambda v} dv du dr ds \end{aligned}$$

and the last integral is bounded if $d < 3\alpha$. By combining all the above estimates we are done with the first part of the proposition.

Now we are going to prove the second part of the proposition. Take

$$\varphi(y) = \left(\int_0^t \int G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(y - x) Y_s^K(dx) ds \right)^p, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the truncations functions, $\varphi_n = \varphi \wedge n$. Then $0 \leq \varphi_n \uparrow \varphi$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since φ_n is bounded and $p_1(z) dz Y_t^K(dy)$ is a finite measure, we have by the dominated convergence theorem and the scaling relationship (4.23) the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi_n(x) Y_t^K(dx) &= \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int \int \varphi_n(\delta^{1/\alpha} z + x) p_1(z) dz Y_t^K(dx) \\ &\leq \liminf_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int \int \varphi(\delta^{1/\alpha} z + x) p_1(z) dz Y_t^K(dx) \\ &= \liminf_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int \int p_\delta(x - y) Y_t^K(dx) \varphi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(\cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \\ &\leq \liminf_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(\cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(p_\delta(\cdot - x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the Fatou lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\int \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(\cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \right] \\ &\leq \liminf_{\delta \downarrow 0} E \left[\int \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(\cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(p_\delta(\cdot - x)) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G^{\lambda, \varepsilon} \leq G^\lambda$ we have by the already proven part of Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\int \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^{\lambda, \varepsilon}(\cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \right] \\ &\leq \liminf_{\delta \downarrow 0} E \left[\int \left(\int_0^t Y_s^K(G^\lambda(\cdot - x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(p_\delta(\cdot - x)) dx \right] \\ &\leq c(K, p, d, \alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Using once again the monotone convergence theorem, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at

$$E \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_0^s Y_s^K(G^\lambda(\cdot \cdot -x)) ds \right)^p Y_t^K(dx) \right] < c(K, p, d, \alpha, \beta),$$

and we are done. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author J. V. would like to express his gratitude for the opportunity to spend some time as a postdoctoral fellow at the Technion (Haifa, Israel) where this research was done.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adler R. J. (1993). *Superprocess local and intersection local times and their corresponding particle pictures*, Seminar on Stochastic Processes 1992, Birkhäuser, 1-42.
- [2] Adler R. J. and Lewin M. (1991). *An evolution equation for the intersection local times of superprocesses*, M. T. Barlow and N. H. Bingham, eds., Stochastic Analysis, 1-22.
- [3] Adler R. J. and Lewin M. (1992). *Local time and Tanaka formulae for super Brownian motion and super stable processes*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, Vol. 41, 45-67.
- [4] Dawson, D. (1993). *Measure-Valued Markov Processes*, École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint Flour XXI. Lecture Notes in Math. 1541, 1-260. Springer, Berlin.
- [5] Dawson, D. (1992). *Infinitely divisible random measure and superprocesses*, In: Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics, 1-130, Birkhäuser, Boston.
- [6] Dynkin, E. (1988). *Representation for functionals of superprocesses by multiples stochastic integrals, with applications to self-intersection local times*, Astérisque, Vol 157-158, 147-171.
- [7] Dynkin, E. (1991). *Branching Particle Systems and Superprocesses*, Ann. of Probability, Vol. 19, No. 3, 1157-1194.
- [8] El Karoui, N. and Roelly, S. (1991). *Propriétés de martingales, explosion et représentation de Lévy-Khintchine d'une classe de processus de branchement à valeurs mesures*, Stoch. Processes and Their App., Vol. 38, 239-266.
- [9] I. Iscoe (1986). *A weighted occupation time for a class of measure-valued branching processes*, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, Vol. 71, 85-116.
- [10] Ikeda, K. and Watanabe, S. (1981). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North-Holland Publishing Company and Kodansha Ltd.
- [11] Le Gall, J. F. (1999). *Spatial branching processes random snakes and partial differential equations*, Birkhäuser.
- [12] Le Gall, J. F. and Mytnik, L. (2005). *Stochastic integral representation and regularity of the density for the exit measure of super-Brownian motion*, Ann. of Probability, Vol. 33, No. 1, 194-222.
- [13] Mytnik, L. (1998). *Collision Measure and Collision Local Time for (α, d, β) -Superprocesses*, J. of Theo. Probability, Vol. 11, No.3, 733-763.
- [14] Mytnik, L. and Perkins, E. (2003). *Regularity and Irregularity of $(1 + \beta)$ -stable super-Brownian motion*, Ann. of Probability, Vol. 31, No. 3, 1413-1440.
- [15] Mytnik, L. Perkins, E. and Sturm, A. (2005). *On pathwise uniqueness for stochastic heat equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients*, to appear in Ann. of Probability.
- [16] Rosen, J. (1992). *Renormalizations and limit theorems for self-intersections of superprocesses*, Ann. of Probability, Vol. 20, No. 3, 1341-1368.

TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT, HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL

E-mail address: leonid@ie.technion.ac.il

UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE AGUASCALIENTES, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y FÍSICA, AV. UNIVERSIDAD 940 C.P. 20100, AGUASCALIENTES, AGS., MÉXICO

E-mail address: jvilla@correo.uaa.mx